arXiv:0811.1157v2 [math.DG] 26 Nov 2008

THE SKEW-TORSION HOLONOMY THEOREM AND NATURALLY REDUCTIVE SPACES

CARLOS OLMOS AND SILVIO REGGIANI

To Isabel Dotti and Roberto Miatello on the occasion of their birthday

Abstract. We prove a Simons-type holonomy theorem for totally skew 1-forms with values in a Lie algebra of linear isometries. The only transitive case, for this theorem, is the full orthogonal group. We only use geometric methods and we do not use any classification (not even that of transitive isometric actions on the sphere or the list of rank one symmetric spaces). This result was independently proved, by using an algebraic approach, by Paul-Andy Nagy. We apply this theorem to prove that the canonical connection of a compact naturally reductive space is unique, provided the space does not split off, locally, a sphere or a compact Lie group with a bi-invariant metric. From this it follows easily how to obtain the full isometry group of a naturally reductive space. This generalizes known classification results of Onishchick, for normal homogeneous spaces with simple group of isometries, and Shankar, for homogeneous spaces of positive curvature. This also answers a question posed by J. Wolf and Wang-Ziller. Namely, to explain why the presentation group of an isotropy irreducible space, strongly or not, cannot be enlarged (unless for spheres, or for compact simple Lie groups with a bi-invariant metric).

1. Introduction

Homogeneous spaces play a central rôle in Riemannian geometry. The most distinguished family is that of symmetric spaces, defined and classified by E. Cartan [C]. A wider class, that includes the compact symmetric spaces, are the normal homogeneous ones and, more generally, the naturally reductive spaces [DZ] (see Section 6). Compact isotropy irreducible spaces, strongly or not [Wo, WZ2], and all known examples of compact homogeneous Einstein spaces carry naturally reductive metrics.

Symmetric spaces can be defined geometrically, or by means of a nice presentation, that involves the (connected component of the) full isometry group. In contrast, the definition of a naturally reductive space $M = G/H$, or even of a normal homogeneous one, depends on the presentation of the

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C30; Secondary 53C35.

Key words and phrases. Holonomy, skew torsion, naturally reductive, isometry group.

Supported by Universidad Nacional de Córdoba and CONICET. Partially supported by ANCyT, Secyt-UNC and CIEM.

spaces as a quotient of groups. In this case G needs not to be the full isometry group or its connected component. For instance, $S^7 = \mathrm{SO}(8)/\mathrm{SO}(7) = \mathrm{Spin}(7)/G_2$, being the sphere, with the standard metric, strongly isotropy irreducible with respect to both presentations (the same is true for $S^6 = \mathrm{SO}(7)/\mathrm{SO}(6) = G_2/\mathrm{SU}(3)$). The explanation of such a pathology is that the full isometry group of this sphere, $\mathrm{Iso}(S^7) = \mathrm{O}(8)$, does not behave properly with respect to the canonical connection ∇^c associated to the reductive decomposition of $\mathrm{Spin}(7)/G_2$ (since, in general, an isometry maps the canonical connection into another canonical connection).

In general, for a compact naturally reductive homogeneous space $M = G/H$, with a canonical connection ∇^c, there is a standard way of enlarging the group G. Namely, including all the isometries of M that belong to $\mathrm{Aff}(M, \nabla^c)$, i.e. that are affine with respect to ∇^c. In fact, if M is simply connected, any linear isometry $\ell : T_pM \to T_qM$ with $\ell(R^c_p) = R^c_q$ and $\ell(T^c_p) = T^c_q$ extends to an isometry of M (since the canonical connection has ∇^c-parallel curvature R^c and torsion T^c). This extension can also be done, for the connected component and only in the compact case, by adding to the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ of G, the fields that are invariant under the transvections $\mathrm{Tr}(\nabla^c)$, which is a transitive normal subgroup of G (such fields are in a one to one correspondence with the fixed vectors of the isotropy). This shows that this standard extension is trivial for $S^7 = \mathrm{Spin}(7)/G_2$ (see Section 7 and [R]).

In this article we shall prove, in a geometric way, that the sphere is the only case where this standard extension does not give the full isometry group.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $M = G/H$ be a naturally reductive Riemannian manifold and let ∇^c be the associated canonical connection. Assume that M is locally irreducible and that $M \neq S^n$, $M \neq \mathbb{R}P^n$. Then*

(i) $\mathrm{Iso}_0(M) = \mathrm{Aff}_0(M, \nabla^c)$.

(ii) *If* $\mathrm{Iso}(M) \not\subset \mathrm{Aff}(M, \nabla^c)$ *then M is isometric to a simple Lie group, endowed with a bi-invariant metric (and in this case, the geodesic symmetry maps ∇^c into $2\nabla - \nabla^c$).*

For a normal homogeneous compact space with G simple, this result was obtained by classification by Onishchik [On]. Also for homogeneous manifolds of positive curvature the full isometry group was determined by Shankar [S].

The above theorem follows easily from the following main result which says that the canonical connection is essentially unique.

Theorem 1.2. *Let M be a compact naturally reductive Riemannian manifold, which is locally irreducible. Assume furthermore that M is neither (globally) isometric to a sphere, nor to a real projective space, nor to a compact simple Lie group with a bi-invariant metric. Then the canonical connection is unique.*

Taking into account the above result, since the only excluded cases are symmetric spaces, it make sense to look for a geometric definition of compact naturally reductive spaces (or at least for the normal homogeneous ones). Of course, such a definition should coincide with the usual one for symmetric spaces.

Theorem 1.2 has the following corollary that explains, in a geometric way, that the presentation of an isotropy irreducible space gives the connected component of the full isometry group. This question was posed by J. Wolf, for the strongly isotropy irreducible spaces, and by M. Wang and W. Ziller in general.

Corollary 1.3 ([Wo, WZ2]). *Let $M^n = G/H$ be a compact, simply connected, irreducible homogeneous Riemannian manifold such that M is not isometric to the sphere S^n. Assume that M is isotropy irreducible with respect to the pair (G, H) (effective action). Assume, furthermore, that M is not isometric to a (simple) compact Lie group with a bi-invariant metric. Then $G_0 = \mathrm{Iso}_0(M)$.*

For strongly isotropy irreducible spaces ones needs only to assume that the space is not isometric to a sphere (see Remark 6.2).

What it is surprising is that the proof of Theorem 1.2 leads in a natural way to a Simons-type holonomy theorem that we prove also in a geometric way (involving normal holonomy). In fact, if $\bar{\nabla}^c$ is another canonical connection, then the difference tensor at a fixed point p, $\Theta = (\bar{\nabla}^c - \nabla^c)_p$ is a 1-form with values in the full isotropy algebra (see Section 6). Moreover, since the Riemannian geodesics, for naturally reductive spaces, are the same as the canonical geodesics, one has that Θ is totally skew, i.e. $\langle \Theta_X Y, Z\rangle$ is an algebraic 3-form of T_pM (such a 1-form arises naturally as the difference tensor of connections with the same geodesics and are particularly important in physics, see [AF, A]).

It is then natural to define, just as Simons [Si] did for holonomy systems, the concept of *skew-torsion holonomy system*: it is a triple $[\mathbb{V}, \Theta, G]$, where $\mathbb{V}$ is an Euclidean vector space, G is a connected Lie subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}(\mathbb{V})$, and Θ is a totally skew 1-form on $\mathbb{V}$ with values in the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ of G (see Section 2).

Theorem 1.4 (Strong skew-torsion holonomy theorem (see also [N])). *Let $[\mathbb{V}, \Theta, G]$, $\Theta \neq 0$, be an irreducible skew-torsion holonomy system with $G \neq \mathrm{SO}(\mathbb{V})$. Then $[\mathbb{V}, \Theta, G]$ is symmetric and non-transitive. Moreover,*

(i) *$(\mathbb{V}, [\ ,\ ])$ is an orthogonal simple Lie algebra, of rank at least 2, with respect to the bracket $[x, y] = \Theta_x y$;*
(ii) *$G = \mathrm{Ad}(H)$, where H is the connected Lie group associated to the Lie algebra $(\mathbb{V}, [\ ,\ ])$;*
(iii) *Θ is unique, up to a scalar multiple.*

This theorem would confirm the hope of J. Simons, in the introduction of [Si], that a variation of his algebraic setting, for holonomy systems, could be useful for other situations.

In order to apply the above theorem to prove Theorem 1.2 one needs a non-trivial result about compact homogeneous spaces with a nice isotropy group. But this result was also used in the proof of the above theorem which illustrates the close relationship between both results. Namely,

Theorem 1.5. *Let $M = G/H'$ be a compact homogeneous Riemannian manifold and let H be the connected component of H'. Assume that ($p = [e]$) $T_pM = \mathbb{V}_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{V}_k$ (orthogonally) and that $H = H_0 \times \cdots \times H_k$ where*

- (i) *H_i acts trivially on $\mathbb{V}_j$ if $i \neq j$ ($i, j = 0, \dots, k$);*
- (ii) *If $i \geq 1$, then H_i acts irreducibly on $\mathbb{V}_i$. Moreover, $\mathcal{C}_i(\mathfrak{h}_i) = \{0\}$, where $\mathfrak{h}_i = \mathrm{Lie}(H_i)$ and $\mathcal{C}_i(\mathfrak{h}_i) = \{x \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathbb{V}_i) : [x, \mathfrak{h}_i] = 0\}$.*

If M is locally irreducible then $k = 0$ or $k = 1$. Moreover, if $k = 1$ then $\mathbb{V}_0 = \{0\}$.

This result in far from being trivial, due to the fact that the totally geodesic submanifold F, given by the set of fixed points of the (connected) isotropy H, is in general non-trivial. In order to deal with F, one has to use the fact that the G-invariant fields are divergence free, which holds in the compact case. If M is not assumed to be compact then Theorem 1.5 is false; see Remark 5.4.

The weaker version of Theorem 1.4, where G is assumed to be non-transitive on the sphere, instead of $G \neq \mathrm{SO}(\mathbb{V})$, follows by using the same arguments of the proof of the Simons holonomy theorem [Si] given in [O2]; see Theorem 2.4. Such a proof uses submanifold geometry, involving normal holonomy, as in [O1]. But transitive groups on the sphere, different from the full orthogonal group, were excluded by Agricola and Friedrich [AF] (for Spin(9) after long computations). Actually, the quaternionic-Kähler case was not treated there, but applying the same ideas in [AF], for the Kähler case, can be easily solved. This is done by considering the quaternionic-Kähler 4-form, instead of the Kähler 2-form (see Remark 4.3).

But such a proof, which involves the classification of transitive actions on spheres, was far from the spirit of this article, that avoids any classification result. We also succeed in giving a direct and geometric proof that transitivity, for skew-torsion holonomy systems, implies that the group is the full orthogonal group. This completes the geometric approach to Theorem 1.4.

We would like to remark that many important steps in our arguments relay on submanifold geometry. This illustrates, as in [O1], how submanifold geometry can be used to obtain general results in Riemannian geometry.

This article may be regarded as a step forward in the geometrization of Lie groups and homogeneous spaces.

We wish to mention that, independently, Paul-Andy Nagy [N], with an algebraic approach, by means of the so-called Berger algebras [B], proved Theorem 1.4 (in fact, his preprint appeared earlier than ours).

2. Preliminaries and basic facts

We will extend the definition of Simons to algebraic 1-forms with values in a Lie algebra, which are totally skew.

Let $\mathbb{V}$ be an Euclidean vector space and let G be a connected Lie subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}(\mathbb{V})$. Let $\Theta : \mathbb{V} \to \mathcal{G} = \mathrm{Lie}(G) \subset \mathfrak{so}(\mathbb{V})$ be linear and such that $\langle \Theta_x y, z\rangle$ is an algebraic 3-form on $\mathbb{V}$. We call such a triple $[\mathbb{V}, \Theta, G]$ a *skew-torsion holonomy system.* A skew-torsion holonomy system is said to be:

- *irreducible* if G acts irreducibly on $\mathbb{V}$;
- *transitive* if G acts transitively on the unit sphere of $\mathbb{V}$;
- *symmetric* if $g(\Theta) = \Theta$, for all $g \in G$, where $g(\Theta)_v = g^{-1}\Theta_{g.v}g$.

Let $[\mathbb{V}, \Theta^\alpha, G]$, $\alpha \in I$, be a family of skew-torsion holonomy systems and let $\mathcal{F} = \{g(\Theta^\alpha) : g \in G, \alpha \in I\}$. Let $\mathcal{G}'$ be the linear span of the set $\{\Theta_x : \Theta \in \mathcal{F}, x \in \mathbb{V}\}$. Then $\mathcal{G}'$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{G}$. Let G' be the connected Lie subgroup of G whose Lie algebra is $\mathcal{G}'$. Decompose

$$\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{V}_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{V}_k,$$

where $\mathbb{V}_0$ is the set of fixed points of G' and G' acts irreducibly on $\mathbb{V}_i$ $(i = 1, \dots, k)$. Let $\mathcal{G}'_i = \{\Theta_{x_i} : x_i \in \mathbb{V}_i, \Theta \in \mathcal{F}\}$ be the subalgebra of $\mathcal{G}$, with associated group $G'_i \subset G$. (cf. [Si]). Observe that $G'_0 = \{0\}$, since $\Theta_{x_0} y = -\Theta_y x_0 = 0$, for all $x_0 \in \mathbb{V}_0$, $y \in \mathbb{V}_0^\perp$.

Lemma 2.1 (see [AF], Section 4)**.** *(i) $G' = G'_1 \times \cdots \times G'_k$ and G'_i acts irreducibly on $\mathbb{V}_i$ and trivially on $\mathbb{V}_j$ $(0 \neq i \neq j)$. (ii) The decomposition $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{V}_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{V}_k$ is unique, up to order.*

Proof. Let $\Theta \in \mathcal{F}$, $x_i \in \mathbb{V}_i$, $x_j \in \mathbb{V}_j$ and let $x \in \mathbb{V}$ $(i \neq j)$. Then $\langle \Theta_x x_i, x_j\rangle = 0 = \langle \Theta_{x_i} x_j, x\rangle$. Thus $\Theta_{x_i} x_j = 0$ and therefore, if $y = y_1 + \cdots + y_k$, with $y_i \in \mathbb{V}_i$, $\Theta_y = \Theta_{y_1} + \cdots + \Theta_{y_k}$, where $\Theta_{y_i} \in \mathrm{SO}(\mathbb{V}_i)$. This implies the lemma. □

Lemma 2.2 (cf. [AF], Section 4)**.** *Let $\mathcal{C}_i(\mathcal{G}'_i) = \{x \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathbb{V}_i) : [x, \mathcal{G}'_i] = 0\}$. Then $\mathcal{C}_i(\mathcal{G}'_i) = \{0\}$ (in particular, $\mathcal{G}'_i$ is semisimple).*

Proof. Let $B \in \mathcal{C}_i(\mathcal{G}'_i)$. On has that $\ker(B)$ is G'_i-invariant. So, since G'_i acts irreducibly on $\mathbb{V}_i$, $\ker(B) = \{0\}$ or $\ker(B) = \mathbb{V}_i$. So, assume that $B \neq 0$ and hence B is invertible. Let $\Theta \in \mathcal{F}$, $x, y, z \in \mathbb{V}_i$. So,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \Theta_x By, z\rangle &= \langle B\Theta_x y, z\rangle = -\langle B\Theta_y x, z\rangle \\ &= -\langle \Theta_y Bx, z\rangle = \langle \Theta_{Bx} y, z\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

So, $\langle \Theta_{Bx} y, z\rangle = \langle \Theta_x By, z\rangle$. Interchanging x with z we get also that

$$\langle \Theta_x By, z\rangle = -\langle \Theta_z By, x\rangle = -\langle \Theta_{Bz} y, x\rangle = \langle \Theta_x y, Bz\rangle.$$

But, since B is skew-symmetric, $\langle \Theta_x y, Bz\rangle = \langle -B\Theta_x y, z\rangle = -\langle \Theta_x By, z\rangle$. So,

$$\langle \Theta_x By, z\rangle = \langle \Theta_x y, Bz\rangle = \langle -B\Theta_x y, Bz\rangle = -\langle \Theta_x By, z\rangle.$$

Thus, $\Theta = 0$, for all $\Theta \in \mathcal{F}$, a contradiction. Hence $B = 0$. □

Proposition 2.3. *$G = G_0 \times G' = G_0 \times G'_1 \times \cdots \times G'_k$, where G_0 acts on $\mathbb{V}_0$ and trivially on $\mathbb{V}_0^\perp$ (G_0 can be arbitrary).*

Proof. We have that $\mathcal{G}'|_{\mathbb{V}_0^\perp}$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{G}|_{\mathbb{V}_0^\perp}$. Hence, by making use of the previous Lemma, $\mathcal{G}'|_{\mathbb{V}_0^\perp} = \mathcal{G}|_{\mathbb{V}_0^\perp}$. In fact, if B belongs to the complementary ideal of $\mathcal{G}'$ in $\mathcal{G}$, then $B \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{G}'|_{\mathbb{V}_0^\perp})$ and so $B = 0$. From this it is not hard to prove the proposition, since $\mathcal{G}'$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{G}$. □

Let $[\mathbb{V}, \Theta, G]$, $\Theta \neq 0$, be an irreducible skew-torsion holonomy system and let $\nu_v(G.v)$ be the normal space at v to the orbit $G.v$. Namely,

$$\nu_v(G.v) = \{\xi \in \mathbb{V} : \langle \xi, \mathcal{G}.v\rangle = 0\}.$$

We have, as for holonomy systems, see Proposition 3.1 in [O2] , that $\mathbb{W} = \nu_v(G.v)$ is a Θ-invariant subspace, i.e. $\Theta_{\mathbb{W}}\mathbb{W} \subset \mathbb{W}$. In fact, if $\xi \in \mathbb{W}$, $x \in \mathbb{V}$, then

$$0 = \langle \Theta_x v, \xi\rangle = -\langle \Theta_\xi v, x\rangle$$

and so $\Theta_\xi.v = 0$. That is $\Theta_\xi \in \mathcal{G}_v = \mathrm{Lie}(G_v)$ (isotropy algebra). Since G_v leaves invariant the normal space $\mathbb{W}$ of $G.v$ at v, one has that $\mathbb{W}$ is Θ-invariant.

Then, with the same proof as that given for the Simons holonomy theorem in [O2] one has:

Theorem 2.4 (Weak skew-torsion holonomy theorem)**.** *Let $[\mathbb{V}, \Theta, G]$, $\Theta \neq 0$, be an irreducible non-transitive skew-torsion holonomy system. Then $[\mathbb{V}, \Theta, G]$ is symmetric.*

In fact, the proof is slightly more simple since Θ has less variables than an algebraic Riemannian curvature tensor.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $[\mathbb{V}, \Theta, G]$, $\Theta \neq 0$, be an irreducible symmetric skew-torsion holonomy system. Then:*

- (i) *$G = G'$ (and so, the linear span of $\{g(\Theta)_x : g \in G, x \in \mathbb{V}\}$ coincides with the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ of G).*
- (ii) *$(\mathbb{V}, [\ ,\ ])$ is an (orthogonal) simple Lie algebra with respect to the bracket $[x, y] = \Theta_x y$;*
- (iii) *$G = \mathrm{Ad}(H)$, where H is the connected Lie group associated to the Lie algebra $(\mathbb{V}, [\ ,\ ])$;*
- (iv) *Θ is unique, up to a scalar multiple.*

Proof. Part (i) follows from the Proposition 2.3. If $B \in \mathcal{G}$ then, since $[\mathbb{V}, \Theta, G]$ is symmetric, $B.\Theta = 0$. So,

$$0 = (B.\Theta)_x y = B\Theta_x y - \Theta_x By - \Theta_{Bx} y.$$

By making now $B = \Theta_z$, the Lie identity for the bracket follows. This implies that $\mathbb{V}$ is a Lie algebra. From this it follows (iii). Since G acts irreducibly, it follows that $\mathbb{V}$ is simple, which completes part (ii).

Part (iv) follows from the fact that $\mathbb{V}$ is simple. In fact, if $[\mathbb{V}, \Theta', G]$ is also a symmetric skew-torsion holonomy system, then Θ'_x is a derivation of

$(\mathbb{V}, [\ ,\ ])$ and so, $\Theta'_x = [\ell(x), \cdot\,]$, where $\ell : \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{V}$ is linear. Since Θ' and $[\ ,\ ]$ are G-invariant, we must have that ℓ is G-invariant, i.e. ℓ commutes with G. Since G acts by isometries, both the skew-symmetric part ℓ_1 and the symmetric part ℓ_2 of ℓ must commute with G. By making use of Lemma 2.2 we obtain that $\ell_1 = 0$. Moreover, since G acts irreducibly, we have that $\ell_2 = \lambda\,\mathrm{Id}$ which proves (iv). $\square$

Remark 2.6. We recall here, for the sake of self-completeness, since we used this fact, that there is only one simple connected compact Lie group of rank one (which is the universal cover of $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ and is isometric to the 3-sphere). Indeed, let H be a compact simply connected Lie group of rank 1 and dimension n. Then, as a symmetric pair, $H = H \times H/\operatorname{diag}(H \times H)$. Since H is a rank-one symmetric space one has that $\operatorname{diag}(H \times H) \simeq H$ acts transitively on the tangent sphere S^{n-1} at $p = [e]$. Thus $S^{n-1} = H/S^1$, where S^1 is a compact Lie subgroup of dimension 1 of H (and so, S^1 is homeomorphic to the circle). Recall that the homotopy groups of S^1 are all trivial, except for the first one. If $n - 1 \neq 2$ this yields a contradiction in the exact homotopy sequence induced by $0 \longrightarrow S^1 \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow S^{n-1} \longrightarrow 0$. So, $n = 3$. In this case the bracket is unique, since there is a unique 3-form, up to multiples, in dimension 3. This bracket gives rise to the Lie algebra of $\mathrm{SO}(3)$.

3. The derived 2-form with values in a Lie algebra

Let $[\mathbb{V}, \Theta, G]$, $\Theta \neq 0$, be a skew-torsion holonomy system. We will define a 2-form Ω with values in $\mathcal{G}$ such that $\langle \Omega_{x,y} z, w\rangle$ is a 4-form on $\mathbb{V}$.

Let us define

$$\Omega_{x,y} = (\Theta_x.\Theta)_y := [\Theta_x, \Theta_y] - \Theta_{\Theta_x y}$$

It is clear that $\Omega_{x,y} \in \mathcal{G}$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{V}$. From the definition one obtains that $\Omega_{x,y}$ is skew-symmetric in x and y. Moreover, for any fixed x, $\langle \Omega_{x,y} z, w\rangle$ is a 3-form in the last three variables, since Θ is totally skew (and then $(B.\Theta)$ is so, for all $B \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathbb{V})$). Thus $\langle \Omega_{x,y} z, w\rangle$ is an algebraic 4-form.

Remark 3.1. If $v \in \mathbb{V}$ then $\Omega_{v,\cdot}$ is a (totally skew) 1-form with values in the isotropy algebra $\mathcal{G}_v = \{B \in \mathcal{G} : B(v) = 0\}$. In fact, $\Omega_{v,\cdot}\, v = 0$, since Ω is totally skew.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $[\mathbb{V}, \Theta, G]$, $\Theta \neq 0$, be a skew-torsion holonomy system and let Σ be the set of fixed points of H, where H is a subgroup of $N(G, \mathrm{O}(\mathbb{V}))$ (the normalizer of G in the full orthogonal group). Assume that the restriction to Σ of $\langle \Theta\,.\,\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ is not identically zero. Let G^Σ be the connected component of the subgroup of G that leaves Σ invariant. Then:*

- (i) *The cohomogeneity of G^Σ on Σ is less or equal to the cohomogeneity of G in $\mathbb{V}$ (cohomogeneity means the codimension of any principal orbit);*

(ii) *There exists a totally skew* 1*-form* $\Theta^\Sigma \neq 0$ *on* Σ*, with values on the Lie algebra* $\mathcal{G}^\Sigma$ *of* $\{g_{|\Sigma} : g \in G^\Sigma\}$ *and such that* $\langle \Theta^\Sigma_\cdot \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ *coincides with the restriction to* Σ *of* $\langle \Theta_\cdot \cdot, \cdot \rangle$*.*

Proof. Part (i) is a special case of Lemma 5.2. Part (ii) follows from the fact that the projection to Σ (of the restriction to Σ) of a Killing field of $\mathbb{V}$, induced by G, lies in $\mathcal{G}^\Sigma$; see the proof of Lemma 5.2. For the sake of clearness, we adapt the arguments to this particular case. We may assume, by taking the closure, that H is compact. Let us define

$$\tilde{\Theta}^\Sigma = \int_{h \in H} h_*(\Theta).$$

Observe that $\tilde{\Theta}^\Sigma$ is a totally skew 1-form with values in $\mathcal{G}$.

If $w_1, w_2, w_3 \in \Sigma$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{\Theta}^\Sigma_{w_1} w_2, w_3 \rangle &= \int_{h \in H} \langle \Theta_{h(w_1)} h(w_2), h(w_3) \rangle \\ &= \int_{h \in H} \langle \Theta_{w_1} w_2, w_3 \rangle = \langle \Theta_{w_1} w_2, w_3 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Let now $v \in \Sigma^\perp$. Observe that $\Sigma^\perp$ is H-invariant and so, $\int_{h \in H} h(v) = 0$, since it belongs to $\Sigma^\perp$ and it is fixed by H. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{\Theta}^\Sigma_{w_1} w_2, v \rangle &= \int_{h \in H} \langle \Theta_{h(w_1)} h(w_2), h(v) \rangle \\ &= \int_{h \in H} \langle \Theta_{w_1} w_2, v \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \Theta_{w_1} w_2, \int_{h \in H} v \right\rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\langle \tilde{\Theta}^\Sigma_{w_1} w_2, v \rangle = 0$. That is, $\tilde{\Theta}^\Sigma_{w_1} w_2 \in \Sigma$. This implies that Θ^Σ, the restriction of $\tilde{\Theta}^\Sigma$ to Σ has the desired properties. □

Remark 3.3. Let H be a compact Lie group and let $\mathfrak{h}$ be its Lie algebra. Let $0 \neq v \in \mathfrak{h}$. The normal space to the orbit $H.v := \mathrm{Ad}(H)v$ is given by

$$\nu_v(H.v) = \mathcal{C}(v) := \{\xi \in \mathfrak{h} : \mathrm{ad}_v(\xi) = 0\} = \{\xi \in \mathfrak{h} : [v, \xi] = 0\}.$$

We have that $v = \mathrm{Exp}(tv).v = \mathrm{Ad}(\mathrm{Exp}(tv))(v)$ and therefore $\mathrm{Ad}(\mathrm{Exp}(tv))$ leaves $\nu_v(H.v)$ invariant. Moreover, from the above equality, the set of fixed points of the one-parameter group of linear isometries $\{\mathrm{Ad}(\mathrm{Exp}(tv))\}$ of $\mathfrak{h}$ is just exactly the normal space $\nu_v(H.v)$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let* $[\mathbb{V}, \Theta, G]$*,* $\Theta \neq 0$*, be an irreducible skew-torsion holonomy system. Then* G *acts on* $\mathbb{V}$ *as an irreducible* s*-representation (i.e. the isotropy representation of a simple symmetric space).*

Proof. We will define an algebraic (Riemannian) curvature tensor $R \neq 0$ on $\mathbb{V}$ with values $R_{v,w}$ in the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ of G. Let

$$R_{v,w} = [\Theta_v, \Theta_w] - \frac{2}{3}\Omega_{v,w}$$

where $\Omega_{v,w} = (\Theta_v.\Theta)_w$. Then $R_{v,w} \in \mathcal{G}$ for all $v, w \in \mathbb{V}$. Let us verify the Bianchi identity. Let $\mathcal{B}$ denote the cyclic sum over the first three variables. Since $\Omega_{v,w}z$ is skew-symmetric in v, w, z, we have that $\mathcal{B}(\frac{2}{3}\Omega_{v,w}z) = 2\Omega_{v,w}z$. Let us then compute

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{B}([\Theta_v, \Theta_w]z) &= [\Theta_v, \Theta_w]z + [\Theta_w, \Theta_z]v + [\Theta_z, \Theta_v]w \\ &= \Theta_v\Theta_w z - \Theta_w\Theta_v z + \Theta_w\Theta_z v - \Theta_z\Theta_w v + \Theta_z\Theta_v w - \Theta_v\Theta_z w.\end{aligned}$$

Now observe that, in the above sum, the first term is equal to the last one, the second term is equal to the third one and the remaining two terms are also equal. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{B}([\Theta_v, \Theta_w]z) &= 2(\Theta_v\Theta_w z - \Theta_w\Theta_v z + \Theta_z\Theta_v w) \\ &= 2[\Theta_v, \Theta_w]z - 2\Theta_{\Theta_v w}z = 2\Omega_{v,w}z.\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\mathcal{B}(R_{v,w}z) = 0.$$

Let us compute $s(R)$, the scalar curvature of R. Let $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ be an orthonormal base of $\mathbb{V}$. Since $\langle \Omega_{v,w}z, u\rangle$ is a 4-form we have

$$\begin{aligned}s(R) &= \sum_{i<j}\langle R_{e_i,e_j}e_j, e_i\rangle = \sum_{i<j}\langle [\Theta_{e_i}, \Theta_{e_j}]e_j, e_i\rangle \\ &= \sum_{i<j}\left(\langle \Theta_{e_i}\Theta_{e_j}e_j, e_i\rangle - \langle \Theta_{e_j}\Theta_{e_i}e_j, e_i\rangle\right) \\ &= -\sum_{i<j}\langle \Theta_{e_j}\Theta_{e_i}e_j, e_i\rangle = \sum_{i<j}\langle \Theta_{e_i}e_j, \Theta_{e_j}e_i\rangle \\ &= -\sum_{i<j}\langle \Theta_{e_i}e_j, \Theta_{e_i}e_j\rangle\end{aligned}$$

which is non-zero since $\Theta \neq 0$. Therefore $[\mathbb{V}, R, G]$ is an irreducible holonomy system, in the sense of Simons [Si] with $s(R) \neq 0$. Hence G acts as the isotropy representation of a simple symmetric space by Theorem 5 in [Si]. In fact, let $\bar{R} = \int_{g\in G} g(R)$. Then $s(\bar{R}) = s(R) \neq 0$ and therefore $[\mathbb{V}, \bar{R}, G]$ is an irreducible symmetric holonomy system. □

4. The proof of the strong skew-torsion holonomy theorem

In this section we state and prove the main result on skew-torsion holonomy systems.

Theorem 4.1. *Let* $[\mathbb{V}, \Theta, G]$*,* $\Theta \neq 0$*, be a transitive skew-torsion holonomy system. Then* $G = \mathrm{SO}(\mathbb{V})$*.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the family of totally skew 1-forms on $\mathbb{V}$ with values in the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ of G. We know, from Section 2, that the linear span of $\{\tilde{\Theta}_v : \tilde{\Theta} \in \mathcal{F}, v \in \mathbb{V}\}$ coincides with $\mathcal{G}$.

We shall make induction on the dimension n of $\mathbb{V}$ ($n \geq 3$, otherwise, $\Theta = 0$). For $n = 3$ the theorem is true, since there is only one such form Θ, up to a scalar multiple, since $\langle \Theta \,. \cdot\,, \cdot \rangle$ is a 3-form. In this case $\{\Theta_v : v \in \mathbb{V}\} = \mathfrak{so}(3)$ and the theorem holds.

Let $n > 3$ and assume that the theorem holds for $\dim(\mathbb{V}) < n$.

Case (a). Assume that there is some $\tilde{\Theta} \in \mathcal{F}$ such that the derived 2-form $\tilde{\Omega}$ is not zero, where $\tilde{\Omega}_{v,w} = (\tilde{\Theta}_v.\tilde{\Theta})_w$. Choose now some $v \in \mathbb{V}$ such that $\tilde{\Theta}^v := \tilde{\Omega}_{v,\cdot}$ is not zero. We have that $\tilde{\Theta}^v$ is a totally skew 1-form on $\{v\}^\perp$ with values in the isotropy algebra $\mathcal{G}_v$ (see Remark 3.1). So, by the results in Section 2, one has that the isotropy at v, $H = G_v$ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1.5 with $k \geq 1$, since $\tilde{\Theta}^v \neq 0$. Observe that, being the sphere simply connected, one has that the isotropy G_v is connected. Then, since the sphere is locally irreducible, applying Theorem 1.5 , one has that $k = 1$ and so, H acts irreducibly on the tangent space T_vS^{n-1} of the sphere $S^{n-1} = G.v$. If the isotropy H is not transitive (on the unit sphere of the tangent space $T_vS^{n-1} = \{v\}^\perp$), then $[\{v\}^\perp, \tilde{\Theta}^v, H]$ is an irreducible non-transitive skew-torsion holonomy system. Then, by the weak skew-torsion holonomy Theorem 2.4, $[\{v\}^\perp, \tilde{\Theta}^v, H]$ must be symmetric. Thus we are under the assumptions of Proposition 8.1 to conclude that $S^{n-1} = G.v$ is isometric to a simple Lie group with a bi-invariant metric which must have rank at least two. This is a contradiction, since in such a Lie group, there are totally geodesic and flat submanifolds of dimension at least 2. Therefore, H is transitive on the unit sphere of $\{v\}^\perp$. Then $[\{v\}^\perp, \tilde{\Theta}^v, H]$ is under the hypotheses of the theorem and $\dim(\{v\}^\perp) = n - 1 < n = \dim(\mathbb{V})$. So, by induction, $H = \mathrm{SO}(\{v\}^\perp)$ which implies that $G = \mathrm{SO}(\mathbb{V})$, since $H = G_v$.

Case (b). Assume that $\tilde{\Theta}_v.\Theta = 0$ for all $\tilde{\Theta} \in \mathcal{F}$, $v \in \mathbb{V}$ (see Remark 4.2 where it is shown that this case can happen in a non-trivial way).

Observe that, from the assumptions, any $\tilde{\Theta} \in \mathcal{F}$ defines an orthogonal Lie bracket on $\mathbb{V}$. Namely, $[u, v]^{\tilde{\Theta}} := \tilde{\Theta}_u v$ (and so, $\mathrm{ad}_u^{\tilde{\Theta}} = \tilde{\Theta}_u$).

Let $G^{\tilde{\Theta}}$ be the (connected) Lie subgroup of G associated to the Lie subalgebra $\{\tilde{\Theta}_v : v \in \mathbb{V}\}$ of $\mathcal{G}$.

By projecting a given $0 \neq \tilde{\Theta} \in \mathcal{F}$ to an irreducible subspace of $G^{\tilde{\Theta}}$ (see the decomposition at the beginning of Section 2), we may assume that $\mathbb{V}$ decomposes as $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{V}_0 \oplus \mathbb{V}_0^\perp$ into $\tilde{\Theta}$-invariant subspaces such that $\tilde{\Theta}$ is trivial on $\mathbb{V}_0$ and irreducible on $\mathbb{V}_0^\perp$. Namely, $\tilde{\Theta}_{\mathbb{V}_0} = \{0\}$ and $G^{\tilde{\Theta}}$ acts irreducibly on $\mathbb{V}_0^\perp$.

There are three subcases that require different geometric arguments. Namely, $\dim(\mathbb{V}_0) \geq 2$, $\dim(\mathbb{V}_0) = 1$ and $\dim(\mathbb{V}_0) = 0$.

For these subcases we will use that G acts on $\mathbb{V}$ as the isotropy representation of a simple symmetric space (see Lemma 3.4). Let us then $0 \neq R$ be the unique, up to multiples, algebraic (Riemannian) curvature tensor on $\mathbb{V}$ such that $[\mathbb{V}, R, G]$ is a symmetric holonomy system (i.e. $g(R) = R$, for all $g \in G$). In this case $\mathcal{G}$ coincides with the linear span of $\{R_{u,v} : u, v \in \mathbb{V}\}$. We will show that R has constant curvatures and so $\mathcal{G} = \mathfrak{so}(\mathbb{V})$. Since G preserves R and acts transitively on the unit sphere of $\mathbb{V}$, one must only show that there exists $v \neq 0$ such that the Jacobi operator $J_v = R_{\cdot\,,v}v$, $J_v : \{v\}^\perp \to \{v\}^\perp$ is a multiple of the identity transformation.

Before continuing with the proof let us observe the following fact: any normal space at z, ν_z to the orbit $G^{\tilde{\Theta}}.z$ is R-totally geodesic, i.e. invariant under R: $R_{\nu_z,\nu_z}\nu_z \subset \nu_z$. In fact, for any $g \in \{e^{t\tilde{\Theta}_z}\}$ and $u, x, y \in \nu_z$ one has that, since $g(R) = R$,

$$R_{u,x}y = g(R)_{u,x}y = g^{-1}.R_{g.u,g.x}g.y = g^{-1}.R_{u,x}y.$$

So, $R_{u,x}y$ is a fixed vector of $\{e^{t\tilde{\Theta}_z}\}$. Hence, by Remark 3.3, $R_{u,x}y \in \nu_z$ and so, ν_z is left invariant by R.

$\mathbf{(b_1)}$. Assume that $\dim(\mathbb{V}_0) \geq 2$. Let, for $v \in \mathbb{V}$, ν_v denote the normal space at v of the the orbit $G^{\tilde{\Theta}}.v$. Observe that $\mathbb{V}_0 \oplus \mathbb{R}v \subset \nu_v$. Observe also that ν_v is the set of fixed points of the one-parameter group of linear isometries $\{e^{t\tilde{\Theta}_v}\}$ (see Remark 3.3). There must exist, $v \in \mathbb{V}$ and $\bar{\Theta} \in \mathcal{F}$ such that the associated 3-form $\langle \bar{\Theta}_{\cdot}\,\cdot, \cdot\rangle$, when restricted to ν_v, is not identically zero. Otherwise, if $v_0, w_0 \in \mathbb{V}_0$ are linearly independent,

$$\langle \bar{\Theta}_v v_0, w_0\rangle = 0$$

for all $\bar{\Theta} \in \mathcal{F}$, $v \in \mathbb{V}$. Thus, $\mathbb{R}v_0 \oplus \mathbb{R}w_0$ is perpendicular to any G-orbit, since $\mathcal{G}$ is linearly spanned by $\{\bar{\Theta}_v : \bar{\Theta} \in \mathcal{F}, v \in \mathbb{V}\}$. This is a contradiction, since G is transitive on the sphere.

Let us then choose $v \in \mathbb{V}$ and $\bar{\Theta}$ such that its associated 3-form is not identically zero when restricted to ν_v. By perturbating v slightly, we may assume that $v \notin \mathbb{V}_0$. Moreover, if v' is the orthogonal projection of v to $\mathbb{V}_0^\perp$, one has that $\nu_{v'} = \nu_v$. So, we may assume that $0 \neq v \in \mathbb{V}_0^\perp$.

We can now apply Lemma 3.2 to conclude that the cohomogeneity of G^{ν_v} on ν_v is one (i.e. it is transitive on the sphere). Moreover, there exists a totally skew 1-form $\bar{\Theta}^v \neq 0$ with values in the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}^v$ of G^{ν_v} (keeping the notation of Lemma 3.2). Since $\dim(\nu_v) < n = \dim(\mathbb{V})$ we have, by induction, that $\{g_{|\nu_v} : g \in G^{\nu_v}\} = \mathrm{SO}(\nu_v)$. Let now v_0 and w_0 be perpendicular vectors of unit length that belong both to $\mathbb{V}_0$ and let

$$\lambda = \langle J_{v_0}(w_0), w_0\rangle.$$

Let now $z \in \mathbb{V}$ be an arbitrary vector which is perpendicular to v_0. Let $v' = g(v)$, $g \in G^{\tilde{\Theta}}$, be such that $z \in \nu_{v'}$ (e.g. by choosing $v' \in G^{\tilde{\Theta}}.v$ such that the high function $x \mapsto \langle z, x\rangle$ on this orbit attains its maximum value). Since $\nu_{v'} = g(\nu_v)$ and $G^{\nu_{v'}} = gG^{\nu_v}g^{-1}$, we have that $G^{\nu_{v'}} = \mathrm{SO}(\nu_{v'})$. Recall that R leaves invariant the subspace $\nu_{v'}$ (that contains the vectors v_0, w_0). Since $G^{\nu_{v'}} = \mathrm{SO}(\nu_{v'})$ preserves R, we conclude that the restriction of R to

$\nu_{v'}$ has constant sectional curvatures λ. So, in particular $J_{v_0}(z) = \lambda z$. Thus $J_{v_0} : \{v_0\}^\perp \to \{v_0\}^\perp$ is a multiple of the identity. This concludes the proof of this subcase.

($\mathbf{b_2}$). Assume that $\dim(\mathbb{V}_0) = 1$. Let $v \in \mathbb{V}_0$ be of unit length. Observe that $G^{\tilde\Theta}$ preserves R, fixes v and acts irreducibly on $\{v\}^\perp$. Then $G^{\tilde\Theta}$ commutes with J_v and therefore J_v is a multiple of the identity.

($\mathbf{b_3}$). Assume that $\dim(\mathbb{V}_0) = 0$, i.e. $G^{\tilde\Theta}$ acts irreducibly on $\mathbb{V}$. In this case the principal orbits of $G^{\tilde\Theta}$ are irreducible and full isoparametric submanifolds of $\mathbb{V}$. In fact, $G^{\tilde\Theta}$ acts as the Ad-representation of $(\mathbb{V}, [\ ,\ ]^{\tilde\Theta})$ (see [PT], [BCO]). The cohomogeneity of $G^{\tilde\Theta}$ in $\mathbb{V}$ is at least 2. Otherwise, the Lie group associated to $(\mathbb{V}, [\ ,\ ]^{\tilde\Theta})$ would be of rank one and therefore, by Remark 2.6, $\dim(\mathbb{V}) = 3$, a contradiction, since we assume $n > 3$.

Let $M = G^{\tilde\Theta}.v$ be a principal orbit. Let ξ belong to the normal space ν_v of M at v such that the shape operator A_ξ has all of its eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_g$ different from zero and $g \geq 2$. Such a ξ can be chosen by perturbating slightly the position vector, since the the codimension of M is at least 2 and so M, since it is full, is not umbilical. Let $E_1, \dots, E_g$ be the eigenspaces of A_ξ associated to $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_g$ respectively. Let us write

$$\mathbb{V} = \nu_v \oplus E_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus E_g.$$

Let $v_i = v + \lambda_i^{-1}\xi$, $i = 1, \dots, g$. One has that the normal space at v_i to the orbit $M_i = G^{\tilde\Theta}.v_i$ is

$$\nu_{v_i} = \{\xi \in \mathbb{V} : \tilde\Theta_\xi v_i = 0\} = \nu_v \oplus E_i$$

which is $\tilde\Theta$-invariant, since $\{\xi \in \mathbb{V} : \tilde\Theta_\xi v_i = 0\}$ is a subalgebra of $(\mathbb{V}, [\ ,\ ]^{\tilde\Theta})$. Moreover, the restriction of $\tilde\Theta$ to ν_{v_i} is not zero, since ν_{v_i} is not abelian. In fact, it contains properly the maximal abelian subalgebra ν_v. Recall that, by Remark 3.3, ν_{v_i} is the set of fixed points of $\{e^{t\tilde\Theta_{v_i}}\}$ (and so, as we previously remarked, ν_{v_i} is left invariant by R). By Lemma 3.2, $G^{\nu_{v_i}}$ is transitive on the unit sphere of ν_{v_i}. Hence, by induction, since $\dim(\nu_{v_i}) < \dim(\mathbb{V})$, we get that $G^{\nu_{v_i}} = \mathrm{SO}(\nu_{v_i})$.

Since the restriction R^i of R to ν_{v_i} is fixed by $G^{\nu_{v_i}} = \mathrm{SO}(\nu_{v_i})$, one has that R^i has constant curvatures, let us say μ. Let $\mathbb{W}_i = \{v\}^\perp \cap \nu_i$ then

$$J_{v|\mathbb{W}_i} = \mu \,\mathrm{Id}_{\mathbb{W}_i}$$

We have dropped the subscript i for μ, since it does not depend on i. In fact, let $w \in \nu_v$ be of unit length and perpendicular to v.

We have that w as well as v, belong both to any $\mathbb{W}_i$ and that $\mu = \langle R_{w,v}v, w\rangle$. This shows that μ is independent of $i = 1, \dots, g$. Since, $\{v\}^\perp = \bigcup_i \mathbb{W}_i$ we conclude that J_v coincides on $\{v\}^\perp$ with $\mu \,\mathrm{Id}_{\{v\}^\perp}$.

This concludes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.4. By putting together the weak skew-torsion holonomy Theorem 2.4, Proposition 2.5 and Theorem 4.1, Theorem 1.4 follows. $\square$

Remark 4.2. Let us consider in $\mathbb{R}^4 \simeq \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{so}(3)$ the bracket given by the product of the (trivial) bracket of $\mathbb{R}$ and the bracket of $\mathfrak{so}(3)$. Any bracket in $\mathbb{R}^4$ defines in a natural way a 3-form. Since the space of 3-forms in $\mathbb{R}^4$ is canonically isometric to $\mathbb{R}^4$, then the group $\mathrm{SO}(4)$ acts transitively on the family of 3-forms of unit length. This implies that any 3-form defines a bracket in $\mathbb{R}^4$, which is orthogonally equivalent to the given one (up to a scalar multiple). Let now $\Theta \neq 0$ be any totally skew 1-form with values in $\mathfrak{so}(4)$. Then Θ satisfies the equation

$$\Theta_x.\Theta = 0$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^4$. But $[\mathbb{R}^4, \Theta, \mathrm{SO}(4)]$ is never a symmetric skew-torsion holonomy system.

Remark 4.3. By using the classification of the transitive actions on spheres one can prove Theorem 4.1. In fact, all the cases have been excluded by Agricola and Friedrich [AF] except for the quaternionic-Khäler case $G = \mathrm{Sp}(1) \times \mathrm{Sp}(n)$ with $n > 1$. But just copying their argument, used for the Kähler case, one can also exclude this group. Instead of using the Kähler form, one has to use the quaternionic-Kähler 4-form.

Let

$$\Omega = e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4 + \cdots + e_{4n-3} \wedge e_{4n-2} \wedge e_{4n-1} \wedge e_{4n}$$

be the quaternionic-Kähler 4-form which is left invariant by $\mathrm{Sp}(1) \times \mathrm{Sp}(n)$ (nicely written in an appropriate basis). Let Θ be a totally skew 1-form with values in $\mathfrak{sp}(1) \times \mathfrak{sp}(n)$ and let $\Theta^k_{i,j} = \langle \Theta_{e_i} e_j, e_k \rangle$. Since Ω is left invariant by $\mathrm{Sp}(1) \times \mathrm{Sp}(n)$, we must have that $\Theta_v.\Omega = 0$ for all $v \in \mathbb{R}^{4n}$.

Let i, j, k be different indexes $\{i, j, k\} \subset \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. We assume that $i = 1$, $j = 2$, $k = 3$ (the other cases are similar). Let $m > 4$ and r be arbitrary.

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= (\Theta_{e_r}.\Omega)(e_1, e_2, e_3, e_m) \\
&= \Omega(\Theta_{e_r} e_1, e_2, e_3, e_m) + \Omega(e_1, \Theta_{e_r} e_2, e_3, e_4) \\
&\qquad + \Omega(e_1, e_2, \Theta_{e_r} e_3, e_m) + \Omega(e_1, e_2, e_3, \Theta_{e_r} e_m) \\
&= \Theta^4_{r,m} \Omega(e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4) = \Theta^4_{r,m} = -\Theta^m_{r,4}.
\end{aligned}$$

So, $\Theta^m_{r,4} = 0$. Similarly, $\Theta^m_{r,3} = \Theta^m_{r,2} = \Theta^m_{r,1} = 0$. The same is true if we replace Θ by $g(\Theta)$, for all $g \in \mathrm{Sp}(1) \times \mathrm{Sp}(n)$.

Then, for all $g \in \mathrm{Sp}(1) \times \mathrm{Sp}(n)$ and for all $m > 1$,

$$g(\Theta)^m_{r,1} = g(\Theta)^m_{r,2} = g(\Theta)^m_{r,3} = g(\Theta)^m_{r,4} = 0.$$

Observe that Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 2.1 imply that $\mathfrak{sp}(1) \times \mathfrak{sp}(n)$ is linearly spanned by $\{g(\Theta)_v : v \in \mathbb{R}^{4n}\}$. Then, the above equality implies that $\mathrm{Sp}(1) \times \mathrm{Sp}(n)$ leaves invariant the subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{4n}$ spanned by $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$. This is a contradiction since $\mathrm{Sp}(1) \times \mathrm{Sp}(n)$ acts irreducibly on $\mathbb{R}^{4n}$ $(n > 1)$. Therefore, $\mathrm{Sp}(1) \times \mathrm{Sp}(n)$ is also excluded.

5. Compact homogeneous manifolds with nice isotropy

In this section we prove a general result, Theorem 1.5, for compact homogeneous Riemannian manifolds with nice isotropy groups.

Let us first state some results that we need.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $M = G/G_p$ be a homogeneous Riemannian manifold, let H be a normal subgroup of G_p and let $\mathbb{W}$ be the subspace of T_pM defined by*

$$\mathbb{W} = \{v \in T_pM : dh|_p(v) = v, \text{ for all } h \in H\}.$$

Then $\mathbb{W}$ is G_p-invariant. Moreover, if $\mathcal{D}$ is the G-invariant distribution on M defined by $\mathcal{D}_p = \mathbb{W}$, then $\mathcal{D}$ is integrable with totally geodesics integral manifolds (or, equivalently, $\mathcal{D}$ is autoparallel).

Proof. Let us construct explicitly the integral manifold $S(q)$ by q of $\mathcal{D}$. Let $q = g.p$ and let

$$S(q) = \{x \in M : h.x = x, \text{ for all } h \in gHg^{-1}\}$$

be the fixed points of gHg^{-1}. It is well known that $S(q)$ is a totally geodesic submanifold of M (we may assume that H is compact, otherwise the closure of H is also a normal subgroup of G_p with the same fixed vectors and fixed set). One has that $T_qS(q) = \mathcal{D}_q$. Let now $r \in S(q)$. Since $S(q)$ is a homogeneous submanifold of M (see Lemma 5.2) there exist $g' \in G$ with $g'.q = r$ and such that $g'(S(q)) = S(q)$. Then $T_rS(q) = dg'(T_qS(q)) = dg'(\mathcal{D}_q) = \mathcal{D}_r$, since $\mathcal{D}$ is G-invariant. Then $S(q)$ is an integral manifold of $\mathcal{D}$. □

Lemma 5.2. *Let M be a Riemannian manifold, G a closed connected subgroup of the isometries $\mathrm{Iso}(M)$ of M and let $H \subset N(G, \mathrm{Iso}(M))$ (the normalizer of G in the full isometry group). Let*

$$\Sigma = \{x \in M : h(x) = x \text{ for all } h \in H\}$$

be the set of fixed points of H, which we assume to be non-empty (observe that Σ is a closed and totally geodesic submanifold of M). Let G^Σ be the connected component of the subgroup of G that leaves Σ invariant. Then the cohomogeneity of G^Σ on Σ is less or equals to the cohomogeneity of G on M. In particular, if G is transitive on M, then G^Σ is transitive on Σ.

Proof. We may assume that H is a closed Lie subgroup. The group H turns out to be compact since any element of Σ is a fixed point of H. Let us endow H with an H-invariant volume element such that $\mathrm{vol}(H) = 1$. Let $X \in \mathcal{K}_G(M) \simeq \mathcal{G}$ (Killing fields of M induced by G) and define $\bar{X} \in \mathcal{K}_G(M)$ by

$$\bar{X} = \int_{h \in H} h_*(X) \quad \in \mathcal{K}_G(M).$$

We have that $\bar{X}_r$ is the projection to $T_r\Sigma$ of X_r, for all $r \in \Sigma$. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{X}_r &= \int_{h\in H} dh|_{h^{-1}(r)}(X_{h^{-1}(r)})\\ &= \int_{h\in H} dh|_r(X_r)\\ &= \int_{h\in H} dh|_r(v) + \int_{h\in H} dh|_r(w),\end{aligned}$$

where $X_r = v + w$, $v \in T_r\Sigma$, $w \in (T_r\Sigma)^\perp$. One has that

$$\int_{h\in H} dh|_r(v) = \int_{h\in H} v = v.$$

On the other hand, the vector $z = \int_{h\in H} dh|_r(w)$ is perpendicular to $T_r\Sigma$ and it is fixed by H. This implies that $z = 0$. So, $\bar{X}|_\Sigma$ is always tangent to Σ. Moreover, $\bar{X}|_\Sigma$ coincides with the projection to $T\Sigma$ of $X|_\Sigma$.

Let $\mathcal{K}_G(\Sigma)$ be the Killing fields of M, induced by G, and such that, when restricted to Σ, are always tangent to Σ. Then, $\mathcal{K}_G(\Sigma)$, coincide with the projection to Σ, of the restriction to Σ, of the elements of $\mathcal{K}_G(M)$. It is now clear that a vector in $T_r\Sigma$ which is perpendicular to the orbit $G^\Sigma.r$ must be perpendicular to $G.r$. This implies the lemma. $\square$

The following lemma is crucial for our purposes.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $M = G/H$ be a compact homogeneous Riemannian manifold, where H is connected. Let $\mathbb{V}_0 \subset T_pM$ be the set of fixed vectors at $p = [e]$ of H. Assume that H acts irreducibly on $\mathbb{V}_0^\perp$ and that $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{h}) = 0$, where $\mathfrak{h} = \mathrm{Lie}(H)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{h}) = \{B \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathbb{V}_0^\perp) : [B, \mathfrak{h}] = 0\}$. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the G-invariant distribution on M with $\mathcal{D}_p = \mathbb{V}_0$. Then $\mathcal{D}$ is a parallel distribution on M (and hence, if $\mathcal{D}$ in non-trivial, M splits locally).*

Proof. Let $v \in \mathbb{V}_0$ and let $\tilde{v}$ be the G-invariant vector field on M with $\tilde{v}_p = v$. Since M is compact, $\tilde{v}$ divergence free on M (since the flow associated to $\tilde{v}$ commutes with G and so it must preserve volumes; see Remark 5.5). Moreover, if $S(q)$ is an integral manifold of $\mathcal{D}$ though q (which is totally geodesic in M) then $\tilde{v}|_{S(q)}$ is also divergence free in $S(q)$. In fact, let $\tilde{G}(q) = \{g \in G : g(S(q)) = S(q)\}$. Then $\tilde{G}(q) \subset G$ is a closed Lie subgroup which acts transitively on the compact manifold $S(q)$ (see Lemma 5.2). Then $\tilde{v}|_{S(q)}$ is $\tilde{G}(q)$-invariant. Hence $\tilde{v}_{S(q)}$ is divergence free in $S(q)$.

Since $\mathcal{D}$ is G-invariant, it suffices to show that $\mathcal{D}$ is parallel at p. If $h \in H$ is arbitrary,

$$dh|_p(\nabla_u\tilde{v}) = \nabla_{dh|_p(u)} h_*(\tilde{v}) = \nabla_{dh|_p(u)}\tilde{v},$$

for all $u \in T_pM$. Then, if $T : T_pM \to T_pM$, $T(u) = \nabla_u\tilde{v}$, T commutes with H (via the isotropy representation). Then H commutes with both, the symmetric part, let us say A, and the skew-symmetric part, let us say B, of T. In particular, both A and B leave $\mathbb{V}_0$ and $\mathbb{V}_0^\perp$ invariant. Since $B|_{\mathbb{V}_0^\perp} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{h})$ then, from the assumption, $B|_{\mathbb{V}_0^\perp} = 0$.

Being $\tilde{v}$ divergence free on M, one has that $\operatorname{trace}(A) = 0$. Since $\tilde{v}|_{S(p)}$ is also divergence free, $\operatorname{trace}(A|_{\mathbb{V}_0}) = 0$ and therefore $\operatorname{trace}(A|_{\mathbb{V}_0^\perp}) = 0$. Since H acts irreducibly on $\mathbb{V}_0^\perp$, $A|_{\mathbb{V}_0^\perp} = \lambda \operatorname{Id}$. Thus, $A|_{\mathbb{V}_0^\perp} = 0$.

Then $(\nabla_{T_pM}\tilde{v})_p \subset \mathbb{V}_0 = \mathcal{D}_p$. Then $\mathcal{D}$ is parallel at p. Hence, since $\mathcal{D}$ is G-invariant, $\mathcal{D}$ is parallel on M. □

Proof of Theorem 1.5. We may assume that G is compact. In fact, let $(\bar{G}_p)_0$ be the connected component of the isotropy group of the closure $\bar{G}$ of G in $\operatorname{Iso}(M)$, which must belong to the normalizer of H. Then $(\bar{G}_p)_{0|\mathbb{V}_i} = H_{|\mathbb{V}_i}$, $i = 1, \dots, k$. Otherwise, any $0 \neq X$ in a complementary ideal of $\mathfrak{g}_i$ (inside the Lie algebra of $(\bar{G}_p)_{0|\mathbb{V}_i}$) would belong to $\mathcal{C}_i(\mathfrak{h}_i)$. This implies that $(\bar{G}_p)_0 = \tilde{H}_0 \times H_1 \times \cdots \times H_k$, where $\tilde{H}_0$ acts only on $\mathbb{V}_0$. Then $(\bar{G}_p)_0$ is also in the assumptions of the theorem. We also may assume, by passing to a finite cover, that $H' = H$.

The key fact is to prove that the distribution given by the fixed vectors of the isotropies is parallel along the G-invariant distributions defined by $\mathbb{V}_0^\perp$.

Assume that $k \geq 1$ and let

$$H^1 = H_0 \times H_2 \times \cdots \times H_k$$

which is, as well as H_1, a normal subgroup of H. Let $\mathbb{V}^1 = \mathbb{W}_0 \oplus \mathbb{V}_1$ be the set of fixed vectors at p of H^1, where $\mathbb{W}_0 \subset \mathbb{V}_0$ is the set of fixed vectors at p of H_0. The subspace $\mathbb{V}^1$ is H-invariant and so it extends to a G-invariant distribution $\mathcal{D}^1$ on M, which is also autoparallel (see Lemma 5.1). Observe that the integral manifold $S^1(p)$ by p is the (connected component containing p of) the set of fixed points of H^1 in M. We have that $S^1(p)$ is homogeneous under the group (see the previous lemma)

$$G^1(p) = \{g \in G : g(S^1(p)) = S^1(p)\} = \{g \in G : g(p) \in S^1(p)\}.$$

Observe that the isotropy $(G^1(p))_p$ coincides with H. But H^1 acts trivially on $S^1(p)$. Then the effectively made isotropy of $S^1(p)$ is H_1. We have that $\mathbb{W}_0 \subset T_pS^1(p)$ is the set of fixed vectors at p of H_1 in $S^1(p)$. Then, by the previous lemma, the G-invariant distribution $\mathcal{D}_0$, with $\mathcal{D}_0(p) = \mathbb{W}_0$ is parallel along $S^1(p)$. Thus, the G-invariant distribution $\mathcal{D}_1$ on M, with $\mathcal{D}_1(p) = \mathbb{V}_1$ is also parallel along $S^1(p)$. By the G-invariance of $\mathcal{D}_1$ and $\mathcal{D}^1$, we also have that $\mathcal{D}_1$ is parallel along $S^1(q)$, for all $q \in M$. This implies that $\mathcal{D}_1$ is an autoparallel distribution on M (since, $\mathcal{D}^1$ is autoparallel and $\mathcal{D}_1 \subset \mathcal{D}^1$). But $\mathcal{D}_1^\perp$ is also an autoparallel distribution on M. In fact, $\mathcal{D}_1^\perp$ is the G-invariant distribution with $(\mathcal{D}_1^\perp)_p = \mathbb{V}_0 \oplus \mathbb{V}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{V}_k$, which is the set of fixed vectors at p of H_1. But two orthogonally complementary autoparallel distributions must be parallel (see e.g. [BCO, p. 31]). Then M splits locally, unless $\mathcal{D}_1^\perp = \{0\}$. □

Remark 5.4. Theorem 1.5 does not hold if M is not assumed to be compact. In fact, let H^n, $n \geq 4$, be the real hyperbolic space and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation of H^n given by parallel horospheres, centered at same point q_∞ at infinity. Let G be the (connected component of) the subgroup of $\operatorname{Iso}_0(H^n) = \mathrm{SO}_0(n+1, 1)$

that leaves $\mathcal{F}$ invariant. Then G acts transitively on the hyperbolic space, since it contains the solvable group that fixes the point q_∞. Let $p \in H^n$ and let $v \in T_pM$ be perpendicular to the horosphere of $\mathcal{F}$ by p. Then the isotropy G_p, via the isotropy representation, fixes v. Moreover, G_p restricted to $\{v\}^\perp$ coincides with $\mathrm{SO}(\{v\}^\perp) \simeq \mathrm{SO}(n-1)$. If Theorem 1.5 holds, then H^n would be reducible (in this case it would split off a line), which is a contradiction.

Remark 5.5. Let M be a Riemannian manifold and let G be a Lie group acting transitively on M by isometries and such that G admits a bi-invariant metric $(\ , \ )$. Let φ be a difeomorphism of M that commutes with G. Then, as it is well known, φ is volume preserving. In fact, let B_ε be an ε-ball,with respect to $(\ , \ )$, around the identity $e \in G$. If $p \in M$, then $\{B_\varepsilon.p\}$ is a basis of neighborhoods of p in M, for arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $g \in G$ be such that $g.p = \varphi(p)$. Then

$$\varphi(B_\varepsilon.p) = B_\varepsilon.\varphi(p) = B_\varepsilon.(g.p) = g.(g^{-1}B_\varepsilon g).p = g.B_\varepsilon.p$$

and so,

$$\mathrm{vol}(\varphi(B_\varepsilon.p)) = \mathrm{vol}(g.B_\varepsilon.p) = \mathrm{vol}(B_\varepsilon.p)$$

This implies that φ is volume preserving.

6. Applications to naturally reductive spaces

Let $M = G/H$ be a homogeneous compact Riemannian manifold with a G-invariant metric $\langle \ , \ \rangle$. The space M is said to be *naturally reductive* if there exists a reductive decomposition

$$\mathcal{G} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m},$$

where $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{Lie}(G)$, $\mathfrak{h} = \mathrm{Lie}(H)$, $\mathrm{Ad}(H)\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{m}$, such that the geodesics by $p = [e]$ are given by

$$\gamma_{X.p} = \mathrm{Exp}(tX).p$$

for al $X \in \mathfrak{m}$. In other words, the Riemannian geodesics coincide with the ∇^c-geodesics, where ∇^c is the canonical connection, which is a metric connection, of M associated to the reductive decomposition. This is in fact equivalent to the property that $[X, \cdot\,]_{\mathfrak{m}} : \mathfrak{m} \to \mathfrak{m}$ is skew-symmetric, for all $X \in \mathfrak{m}$ ($\mathfrak{m} \simeq T_pM$).

The Levi-Civita connection is given by

$$\nabla_v \tilde{w} = \tfrac{1}{2}[\tilde{v}, \tilde{w}]_p,$$

and

$$\nabla^c_v \tilde{w} = [\tilde{v}, \tilde{w}]_p,$$

where, for $u \in T_pM$, $\tilde{u}$ is the Killing field on M induced by the unique $X \in \mathfrak{m}$ such that $X.p = u$ (i.e. $\tilde{u}(q) = X.q$).

The difference tensor between both connections is given by

$$D_v w = \nabla_v \tilde{w} - \nabla^c_v \tilde{w} = -\tfrac{1}{2}[\tilde{v}, \tilde{w}]_p = -\nabla_v \tilde{w}.$$

The tensor D is totally skew, i.e. $\langle D_v w, z\rangle$ is a 3-form.

Assume that the Riemannian metric of M is also naturally reductive with respect to another decomposition. That is, $M = G'/H'$ and there is a reductive decomposition

$$\mathcal{G}' = \mathfrak{h}' \oplus \mathfrak{m}',$$

such that the geodesics of M by p are also given by

$$\gamma_{X.p} = \mathrm{Exp}(tX).p$$

for $X \in \mathfrak{m}'$, where $\mathcal{G}' = \mathrm{Lie}(G')$, $\mathfrak{h}' = \mathrm{Lie}(H')$, $\mathrm{Ad}(H')\mathfrak{m}' \subset \mathfrak{m}'$,

Let $\bar{\nabla}^c$ be the canonical connection associated to this new reductive decomposition. Similarly, if $\bar{D} = \nabla - \bar{\nabla}^c$,

$$\bar{D}_v w = \nabla_v \bar{w} - \bar{\nabla}^c_v \bar{w} = -\tfrac{1}{2}[\bar{v}, \bar{w}]_p = -\nabla_v \bar{w}.$$

where, for $u \in T_pM$, $\bar{u}$ is the Killing field on M induced by the unique $X \in \mathfrak{m}'$ such that $X.p = u$ (i.e. $\bar{u}(q) = X.q$).

The tensor $\bar{D}$, as well as D is totally skew.

One has that

$$D_v w - \bar{D}_v w = -\nabla_v(\tilde{w} - \bar{w}) = -\nabla_v Z,$$

where $Z = \tilde{w} - \bar{w}$ vanishes at p. So, $(\nabla Z)_p \in \tilde{\mathfrak{h}} := \mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{Iso}(M)_p)$ (via the isotropy representation). In fact,

$$e^{t(\nabla Z)_p} = d(\varphi^Z_t)|_p,$$

where φ^Z_t is the flow associated to Z. Observe that $D - \bar{D} = \bar{\nabla}^c - \nabla^c$.

Then, if $\Theta := D - \bar{D}$, $\Theta w = -(\nabla Z)_p \in \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$ or, equivalently,

$$\Theta_w = (\nabla Z)_p \in \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$$

since Θ is totally skew. That is, Θ_w belongs to the full isotropy algebra, for all $w \in T_pM$.

Let

$$\bar{\mathfrak{h}} = \text{linear span of } \{g(\Theta)_w : g \in \mathrm{Iso}(M)_p,\ w \in T_pM\}.$$

One has, as in Section 2, that $\bar{\mathfrak{h}}$ is an ideal of $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{Iso}(M)_p) \subset \mathfrak{so}(T_pM)$.

Let $\bar{H}$ be the connected Lie subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}(T_pM)$ with $\mathrm{Lie}(\bar{H}) = \bar{\mathfrak{h}}$. Then, by what has been done for skew-torsion holonomy systems (see Section 2),

$$T_pM = \mathbb{V}_0 \oplus \mathbb{V}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{V}_k \quad \text{(orthogonally)}$$

and

$$(\mathrm{Iso}_0(M)_p)_0 = H_0 \times H_1 \times \cdots \times H_k,$$

where H_0 acts only on $\mathbb{V}_0$ and H_i acts irreducibly on $\mathbb{V}_i$ and trivially on $\mathbb{V}_j$ if $i \neq j$, $i \geq 1$. Moreover, such groups are in the assumptions of Theorem 1.5. Then, by this theorem, if M is locally irreducible, either

$$(\mathrm{Iso}_0(M)_p)_0 = H_0 \text{ and } T_pM = \mathbb{V}_0,$$

or

$$(\mathrm{Iso}_0(M)_p)_0 = H_1 \text{ and } T_pM = \mathbb{V}_1.$$

If $(\mathrm{Iso}_0(M)_p)_0 = H_0$ then all $g(\Theta) = 0$, and in particular $\Theta = 0$ and so $\nabla^c = \bar{\nabla}^c$.

Let us analyze the remaining case, where $(\mathrm{Iso}_0(M))_0 = H_1$.

From Theorem 1.4, there are only two cases:

(a) $H_1 = \mathrm{SO}(T_pM)$. In this case M has (positive) constant curvatures and it is a global symmetric space (see Remark 6.4). Then $M = S^n$ or $M = \mathbb{R}P^n$.

(b) H_1 acts on T_pM as the Ad-representation of a compact simple Lie group. Then M is isometric to a compact simple group with a bi-invariant metric (a classification free and geometric proof of this fact is given in Proposition 8.1)

Proof of Theorem 1.2. It follows from the above discussion. □

Remark 6.1. We keep the above notation. Assume, as in case (b), that M is isometric to a compact simple Lie group with a bi-invariant metric. In this case the family of canonical connections is the affine line

$$L = \{s\nabla + (1-s)\nabla^c : s \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

since the difference tensor between any two canonical connections must be, up to a scalar multiple, unique (see Proposition 2.5). We assume $\nabla^c \neq \nabla$. In this case $\mathrm{Iso}_0(M)$ fixes point-wise the whole line (since $\mathrm{Iso}(M)$ induces an isometry on L with the fixed point ∇). In particular $\mathrm{Iso}_0(M)$ preserves ∇^c. So, $\mathrm{Iso}_0(M) \subset \mathrm{Aff}_0(M, \nabla^c)$. Observe that in this case the geodesic symmetry moves the totally skew tensor D into $-D$. So, it moves $\nabla^c = \nabla - D$ into $\nabla + D = 2\nabla + (-\nabla + D) = 2\nabla - \nabla^c$. Then the geodesic symmetry does not belong to $\mathrm{Aff}(M, \nabla^c)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Assume that M is not also isometric to a compact (simple) Lie group with with a bi-invariant metric. Then, from Theorem 1.2, since any isometry must map the canonical connection into itself, $\mathrm{Iso}(M) \subset \mathrm{Aff}(M, \nabla^c)$. But always $\mathrm{Aff}(M, \nabla^c) \subset \mathrm{Aff}(M, \nabla)$ (affine transformations with respect to the Levi-Civita connection). In fact, any $g \in \mathrm{Aff}(M, \nabla^c)$ maps Riemannian geodesics, which are the same as the ∇^c-geodesics, into Riemannian geodesics. Then, since ∇ is torsion free, $g \in \mathrm{Aff}(M, \nabla)$, as it is well known (see [R]). Then

$$\mathrm{Iso}(M) \subset \mathrm{Aff}(M, \nabla^c) \subset \mathrm{Aff}(M, \nabla).$$

But, since M is compact, $\mathrm{Iso}_0(M) = \mathrm{Aff}_0(M, \nabla)$ (see Remark 6.3). Hence,

$$\mathrm{Iso}_0(M) = \mathrm{Aff}_0(M, \nabla^c).$$

If M is isometric to a compact Lie group with with a bi-invariant metric then $\mathrm{Iso}_0(M) \subset \mathrm{Aff}_0(M, \nabla^c)$ (see Remark 6.1). But, as observed before, $\mathrm{Aff}(M, \nabla^c) \subset \mathrm{Aff}(M, \nabla)$. By making use of Remark 6.3 we have, also in this case, that

$$\mathrm{Iso}_0(M) = \mathrm{Aff}_0(M, \nabla^c).$$

The geodesic symmetry, as observed in Remark 6.1, does not preserve the canonical connection of the Lie group (if different from the Levi-Civita connection). This concludes the proof. □

Proof of Corollary 1.3. Observe first that G_0 is semisimple. In fact, let $\mathcal{D}$ be the distribution on M given by the tangent spaces to the orbits of the maximal abelian normal (connected) subgroup A of G_0. Such a distribution must be G-invariant and so, since the action is effective, $\mathcal{D}_q = T_qM$, for all $q \in M$. Then A acts transitively on M and so M is flat, a contradiction. Let us endow M with a normal homogeneous metric g', with respect to the decomposition $\mathcal{G} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^\perp$. Namely, the scalar product in $T_pM \simeq \mathfrak{h}^\perp$ is the restriction of $-B$, where B is the Killing form of G_0.

Such a nice metric g' must also be G-invariant, since any element of H preserves both $\mathfrak{h}$ and B. Since H acts irreducibly on the tangent space, one has that g', up to scaling, coincides with the metric g of M. Let $\mathrm{Tr}(\nabla^c)$ be the normal subgroup of $\mathrm{Aff}_0(M, \nabla^c)$ which consists of the transvection with respect to the canonical connection ∇^c associated to the reductive decomposition $\mathcal{G} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}^\perp$. Recall that a transvection is an ∇^c-affine transformation that preserves any holonomy subbundle of the orthonormal frame bundle. It is well known that the Lie algebra of $\mathrm{Tr}(\nabla^c)$ is $\mathfrak{tr}(\nabla^c) = [\mathfrak{h}^\perp, \mathfrak{h}^\perp] + \mathfrak{h}^\perp$, not a direct sum in general, which implies that $\mathrm{Tr}(\nabla^c) \subset G_0$. By Theorem 1.1, $\mathrm{Iso}_0(M) = \mathrm{Aff}_0(M, \nabla^c)$. Then $\mathrm{Tr}(\nabla^c)$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathrm{Iso}_0(M)$.

We shall prove that these groups coincide. In fact, assume that $\mathrm{Tr}(\nabla^c)$ is properly contained in $\mathrm{Iso}_0(M)$. Let $\mathcal{G}'$ be a complementary ideal, in the Lie algebra of $\mathrm{Iso}_0(M)$, of the ideal $\mathfrak{tr}(\nabla^c)$ (see [R]). Then, if $0 \neq X \in \mathcal{G}'$, the field $z(q) = X.q$ is $\mathrm{Tr}(\nabla^c)$-invariant. Then the isotropy of $\mathrm{Tr}(\nabla^c)$ fixes the vector $z(p)$, where $p = [e]$. But the subspace $\mathbb{W}$ of T_pM which consist of the fixed vector of $\mathrm{Tr}(\nabla^c)_p$ is invariant under H, since $\mathrm{Tr}(\nabla^c)$ is a normal subgroup of G. Recall that, from part (ii) of Theorem 1.1, since M is not isometric to a compact Lie group, one has that $G \subset \mathrm{Aff}(M, \nabla^c)$. Since H acts irreducibly, $\mathbb{W} = T_pM$. Then $\mathrm{Tr}(\nabla^c)$ acts simply transitively on M. Then $[\mathfrak{h}^\perp, \mathfrak{h}^\perp] \subset \mathfrak{h}^\perp$ and therefore $\mathfrak{h}^\perp$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{G}$. This implies that M is isometric to the Lie group $\mathrm{Tr}(\nabla^c)$ with a bi-invariant metric, a contradiction. Then $\mathrm{Tr}(\nabla^c) = \mathrm{Iso}_0(M)$ which implies that $G_0 = \mathrm{Iso}_0(M)$, since $\mathrm{Tr}(\nabla^c) \subset G_0 \subset \mathrm{Iso}_0(M)$. □

Remark 6.2. For strongly isotropy irreducible spaces one needs not to assume that M is not isometric to a compact Lie group with a bi-invariant metric. The proof is the same, since always $\mathrm{Iso}_0(M) = \mathrm{Aff}_0(M, \nabla^c)$, as it follows from Theorem 1.1 (i) and we do not need to use part (ii) of this result.

Remark 6.3. (see [R]). Let M be a compact Riemannian manifold and let X be an affine Killing field, i.e. the flow φ_s associated to X preserves the Levi-Civita connection ∇. Let $\gamma(t)$ be an arbitrary geodesic in M. Then $X(\gamma(t))$ is a Jacobi field along $\gamma(t)$. In fact, for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $\gamma_s(t) = \varphi_s(\gamma(t))$

is a geodesic, since an affine transformation maps geodesics into geodesics (note that $X(\gamma(t)) = \frac{\partial}{\partial s}_{|0}\gamma_s(t))$. Since M is compact, X is bounded, and so, the projection of $X(\gamma(t))$ to $\gamma'(t)$ is constant (since, it is of the form $(a+bt)\gamma'(t)$). So, differentiating $\langle X(\gamma(t)), \gamma'(t)\rangle$, one has that

$$\langle \nabla_{\gamma'(t)} X(\gamma(t)), \gamma'(t)\rangle$$

which is the (Riemannian) Killing equation, since γ is arbitrary. So, X is a (Riemannian) Killing field. This implies that $\mathrm{Aff}_0(M, \nabla) = \mathrm{Iso}_0(M)$.

Remark 6.4. Let $M^n = G/G_p$ be a compact Riemannian manifold such that $(G_p)_0 = \mathrm{SO}(T_pM)$. Then M is isometric to the sphere S^n or to the real projective space $\mathbb{R}P^n$. In fact, since the isotropy acts transitively on the sets of planes of the tangent space at p, M has constant sectional curvatures κ ($\kappa > 0$, since M is homogeneous and compact). Let $S^n = \mathrm{SO}(n+1)/\mathrm{SO}(n)$ be the universal cover of M and let Γ be the deck transformations. Observe that the isotropy $g\,\mathrm{SO}(n)g^{-1}$ of the sphere at $g.e_1$, projects down to M. So, since Γ is discrete and the isotropies are connected, the deck transformation group commutes with any isotropy of the sphere. This implies that Γ commutes with $\mathrm{SO}(n+1)$. Therefore $\Gamma = \{\mathrm{Id}\}$ or $\Gamma = \{\mathrm{Id}, -\mathrm{Id}\}$.

Remark 6.5. Let $M = G/G_p$ be a naturally reductive space. Let $\tilde{M} = \tilde{G}/\tilde{G}_{\tilde{p}}$ be its universal cover, where $\tilde{G}$ is the (connected) lift of G to $\tilde{M}$ and $\tilde{p}$ projects down to p. Observe that $\tilde{M}$ is also a naturally reductive space. Since $\tilde{G}$ admits a bi-invariant metric, any difeomorphism of $\tilde{M}$ that commutes with $\tilde{G}$ is volume preserving (see Remark 5.5). Then, with the same arguments of the proof of Theorem 1.2, one has that the canonical connection of $\tilde{M}$ is unique, provided $\tilde{M}$ does not split off either a sphere or a simple Lie group (with a bi-invariant metric). So, in this case, the canonical connection of M is also unique.

Remark 6.6 (Holonomy of naturally reductive spaces)**.** Let M^n be a locally irreducible naturally reductive space with associated canonical connection ∇^c. The difference tensor $D := \nabla - \nabla^c$ between the Levi-Civita connection and the canonical connection is totally skew. Moreover, this tensor gives the covariant derivative of Killing fields at a point $p \in M$ (see the beginning of this section). Thus, from [K], [AK], for any $v \in T_pM$, D_v belongs to the (restricted) holonomy algebra (see [CDO]). If M is not a symmetric space, then $D \neq 0$. Moreover, by making use of the strong skew-torsion holonomy theorem, the restricted holonomy group must be $\mathrm{SO}(n)$. This result extends that of Wolf [Wo] for strongly isotropy irreducible spaces.

7. The calculation of $\mathrm{Aff}(M, \nabla^c)$

In this section we show how to compute geometrically the full ∇^c-affine group (identity component) for a naturally reductive space. We refer to [R] for more details. Let $M = G/H$ be a compact naturally reductive space, with reductive decomposition $\mathcal{G} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ and associated canonical connection

∇^c. Assume that H is the isotropy group at $p \in M$. We consider the transvection group of the canonical connection, $\mathrm{Tr}(\nabla^c)$, which consists of all ∇^c-affine transformations that preserves the ∇^c-holonomy subbundles of the (orthogonal) frame bundle. The transvection group is a normal subgroup of $\mathrm{Aff}(M, \nabla^c)$. Moreover, $\mathrm{Tr}(\nabla^c)$ is contained in G and the Lie algebra of $\mathrm{Tr}(\nabla^c)$ is given by

$$\mathfrak{tr}(\nabla^c) = [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] + \mathfrak{m}$$

(not direct sum, in general).

Now, since $\mathrm{Tr}(\nabla^c)$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathrm{Aff}(M, \nabla^c)$, then $\mathfrak{tr}(\nabla^c)$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{aff}(M, \nabla^c)$, the Lie algebra of the affine group. Let us complement $\mathfrak{tr}(\nabla^c)$ with another ideal (which corresponds to a normal subgroup of $\mathrm{Aff}(M, \nabla^c)$). That is, there exists an ideal $\mathfrak{g}'$ of $\mathfrak{aff}(M, \nabla^c)$ such that

$$\mathfrak{aff}(M, \nabla^c) = \mathfrak{tr}(\nabla^c) \oplus \mathfrak{g}'.$$

Observe that $\mathrm{Aff}_0(M, \nabla^c)$ is a closed, and hence compact, subgroup of $\mathrm{Aff}_0(M, \nabla) = \mathrm{Iso}_0(M)$; see the proof of Theorem 1.1 (last equality follows from Remark 6.3).

If $X \in \mathfrak{g}'$, then φ_t, the flow of X, commutes with $\mathrm{Tr}(\nabla^c)$ and therefore X, regarded as a field on M, is $\mathrm{Tr}(\nabla^c)$-invariant. Then $\mathfrak{g}'$ may be regarded as a subspace of $\mathrm{Tr}(\nabla^c)$-invariant fields of M. Therefore, $\mathfrak{aff}(M, \nabla^c)$ is given by the transvection algebra and a subspace of the $\mathrm{Tr}(\nabla^c)$-invariant fields. Now, we can write $\mathrm{Aff}_0(M, \nabla^c)$ as (not direct) product of two groups of ∇^c-affine transformations. One can improve this presentation for a quasi-direct product (i.e. discrete intersection of the factors, see [R]).

Remark 7.1 (see [R]). If M is a normal homogeneous space, one has that any G-invariant field lies in $\mathfrak{aff}(M, \nabla^c)$. In fact, let φ_t be the local flow of a G-invariant field X, then $\varphi_t(p)$ is a fixed point of H, but the isotropy group does not change along the set of fixed points of H. Thus, the reductive decomposition in $\varphi_t(p)$ is the same, since $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{h}^\perp$. From this one has that φ_t is ∇^c-affine.

Remark 7.2. It is a well-known fact that a diffeomorphism is affine (i.e. it preserves some connection) if it maps geodesics into geodesics and it preserves the torsion tensor. For a canonical connection ∇^c of M, any linear isometry $\ell : T_pM \to T_qM$ which maps the canonical curvature and torsion tensors tensors at p into the same objects at q, extends to a ∇^c-affine transformation, which is also an isometry. This is because the canonical curvature and torsion tensors are ∇^c-parallel (see the introduction).

8. Appendix

We shall give a geometric and classification free proof of the following result which follows from Joseph Wolf classification of strongly isotropy irreducible spaces.

Proposition 8.1 ([Wo])**.** *Let $M = G/H'$ be a Riemannian compact homogeneous manifold such that the connected component H of H' acts on T_pM, $p = [e]$, as the* Ad*-representation of a compact simple Lie group. Then M is isometric to a compact simple Lie group with a bi-invariant metric.*

Proof. If the rank of compact Lie group is 1 then M has dimension 3 and $H' = \mathrm{SO}(T_pM)$ (see Remark 2.6). Then, by Remark 6.4, M is isometric to the sphere S^3 or to the projective space $\mathbb{R}P^3$, and the conclusion holds. So, let us assume that the rank is $k \geq 2$ (which coincides with the codimension of the principal H-orbits).

Identifying $\mathfrak{h} = \mathrm{Lie}(H) \simeq T_pM$, we have that H, via the isotropy representation, acts as the Ad-action of H on $\mathfrak{h}$. Let $0 \neq v \in \mathfrak{h}$. The normal space to the orbit $H.v$ is given by

$$\nu_v(H.v) = \mathcal{C}(v) := \{\xi \in \mathfrak{h} : [\xi, v] = 0\}.$$

We have that $v = \mathrm{Exp}(tv).v = \mathrm{Ad}(\mathrm{Exp}(tv))(v)$. Then, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mathrm{Ad}(\mathrm{Exp}(tv))$ leaves $\nu_v(H.v)$ invariant. Moreover, from the above equality, the set of fixed points of the one-parameter group of linear isometries $\{\mathrm{Ad}(\mathrm{Exp}(tv))\}$ of $\mathfrak{h}$ is just exactly the normal space $\nu_v(H.v)$ (see Remark 3.3).

Let us now write

$$dh_{t|_p} = \mathrm{Ad}(\mathrm{Exp}(tv))$$

where $h_t \in H$. Then $S := \{h_t\}$ is a one-parameter Lie group of isometries such that $M^v := \exp_p(\nu_v(H.v))$ is the connected component (containing p) of the set of fixed points of S. Then M^v is a totally geodesic submanifold of M. Observe that M^v, by Lemma 5.2, is a homogeneous submanifold of M. Moreover, as it is not hard to see, the isotropy algebra of M^v is $\mathcal{C}(v)$. In the case that $H.v$ is a maximal focal orbit,

$$\mathcal{C}(v) = \mathbb{R}v \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_v$$

where $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_v$ is a semisimple Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{h}$. The Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_v$ may be regarded as the normal holonomy algebra of the maximal focal orbit $H.v$. The Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_v$ coincides with its own normalizer in $\mathfrak{so}(\{v\}^\perp)$, since it acts as an s-representation, where $\{v\}^\perp$ is regarded inside $\nu_v(H.v)$ (see [BCO], p. 192). From this property, since $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_v$ is semisimple, one has that

$$\{0\} = \mathcal{C}(\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_v) = \{x \in \mathfrak{so}(\{v\}^\perp) : [x, \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_v] = 0\}.$$

So, we are under the assumptions of Lemma 5.3. Then, M^v splits, locally, the geodesic γ_v.

Let now $H.u$ be a principal orbit and choose $0 \neq v \in u + \nu_u(H.u) = \nu_u(H.u)$ such that $H.v$ is a most singular orbit (i.e. v belongs to a one dimensional simplex of a Weyl chamber of the normal space $\nu_u(H.u)$; recall that the principal H-orbits are isoparametric submanifolds [PT, BCO]). Since M^v splits locally γ_v, then M^u splits also locally γ_v, since M^v is a totally geodesic submanifold of M^u (due to the fact that $\nu_u(H.u) \subset \nu_v(H.v)$).

Let W be the Weyl group of $\nu_u(H.u)$ which acts irreducibly on $u + \nu_u(H.u) = \nu_u(H.u)$. Given $g \in W$ there exists $h \in H$ such that $h.\nu_u(H.u) = \nu_u(H.u)$ and $h_{|\nu_u(H.u)} = g$. Then $M^u = h.M^u$ splits off also $\gamma_{h.v} = \gamma_{g(v)}$, for all $g \in W$. Then M^u is flat (and compact), since $W.v$ generates $\nu_u(H.u)$. Let now $z \in T_pM$ be arbitrary. There exists $w \in H.u$ such that $z \in \nu_w(H.u) = \nu_w(H.w)$ (for instance, by choosing a point w where the height function $x \mapsto \langle x, z\rangle$, $x \in H.u$, achieves its maximum value). Then the arbitrary geodesic γ_z, by p, is contained in the compact k-flat M^w, where $k = \operatorname{rank}(H) \geq 2$. Since M is homogeneous, we conclude that any geodesic in M must be contained in a compact flat. Then, by [HPTT], M is globally symmetric of rank at least two (see [EO] for a conceptual proof).

Observe that H, regarded as a subgroup of the isotropy of M, coincides with the connected component of the full isotropy $\mathrm{Iso}_0(M)_p$ of M at p. If not, $\mathrm{Iso}_0(M)_p$ would be transitive on the sphere, by Simons' Holonomy Theorem [Si, O2] (since s-representations can not be enlarged without acting transitively on the sphere). But then the symmetric space M would be of rank one, a contradiction since there exists non trivial flats in M.

So, since M is symmetric, $H = \mathrm{Iso}_0(M)_p$ coincides with the (restricted) holonomy group of M at p (via the isotropy representation).

Let now X be the symmetric space H endowed with a bi-invariant metric. Identifying $T_pM \simeq T_eX$ one has both M and X have the same (restricted) holonomy. Then, the curvature tensors of M and X differ by a positive scalar multiple (this follows for instance from Lemma 3.3 in [O2]).

Thus M is locally a symmetric space of group type. Since M is globally symmetric it is not hard to see that M must be globally isometric to a (connected and compact) Lie group with a bi-invariant metric. □

REFERENCES

[A] AGRICOLA, I., *The Srní lectures on non-integrable geometries with torsion,* Arch. Math. **42** (2006), 5–84.

[AF] AGRICOLA, I., and FRIEDRICH, TH., *On the holonomy of connections with skew-symmetric torsion,* Math. Ann. **329** (2004), no. 4, 711–748.

[AK] ALEKSEEVSKIĬ, D.V., and KIMELFELD, B.N., *Structure of homogeneous Riemannian spaces with zero Ricci curvature (Russian),* Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen.; English translation: Funct. Anal. Appl. **9** (1975), no. 2, 97–102.

[B] BERGER, M., *Sur les groupes d'holonomie des variétés a connexion affine et des variétés riemannienes,* Bull. Soc. Math. France **83** (1955), 279–310.

[BCO] BERNDT, J., CONSOLE, S., and OLMOS, C., *Submanifolds and Holonomy,* CRC/Chapman and Hall Research Notes Series in Mathematics, **434** (2003), Boca Raton.

[BWZ] BÖHM, C., WANG, M., and ZILLER, W., *A variational approach for compact homogeneous Einstein manifolds,* Geom. Funct. Anal. **14** (2004), 681–733.

[C] CARTAN, E., *Sur une classe remarquable d'espaces de Riemann,* Bull. Soc. Math. France **54** (1926), 214-264; **55** (1927), 114-134.

[CDO] CONSOLE, S., DI SCALA, A.J., and OLMOS, C., *Holonomy and submanifold geometry,* Enseign. Math. **48** (2002), 23–50.

[DZ] D'Atri, J.E., and Ziller, W., *Naturally reductive metrics and Einstein metrics on compact Lie groups,* Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. **18** (1979), No. 215.

[EO] Eschenburg, J., and Olmos, C., *Rank and symmetry of Riemannian manifolds,* Comment Math. Helvetici **69** (1994), 483–499.

[HPTT] Heintze, E., Palais, R., Terng, C.-L., and Thorbergsson, G., *Hyperpolar actions and k-flat homogeneous spaces,* J. Reine Angew. Math. **454** (1994), 163–179.

[K] Kostant, B., *Holonomy and the Lie algebra of infinitesimal motions of a Riemannian manifold,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **80** (1955), 528–542.

[N] Nagy, P.-A., *Skew-symmetric prolongations of Lie algebras and applications,* Arxiv preprint: arXiv:0712.1398v2 (2007).

[O1] Olmos, C., *A geometric proof of the Berger Holonomy Theorem,* Ann. of Math. **161** (2005), 579–588.

[O2] ———, *On the geometry of holonomy systems,* Enseign. Math. **51** (2005), 335–349.

[On] Onishchik, A.L., *The group of isometries of compact Riemannian homogeneous spaces,* Proceedings Colloquium Math. Soc. Janos Bolyai (1989), 597–616, North Holland Pub. Co., Amsterdam (1992).

[PT] Palais, R., and Terng, C.-L., *Critical Point Theory and Submanifold Geometry,* Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1353** (1985), Springer-Verlag, New York.

[R] Reggiani, S., *Naturally reductive Riemannian manifolds,* Preprint (2008).

[S] Shankar, K., *Isometry Groups of Homogeneous Spaces with Positive Sectional Cuervature,* Diff. Geom. Appl. **14** (2001), 57–78.

[Si] Simons, J., *On the transitivity of holonomy systems,* Ann. of Math. **76** (1962), 213–234.

[WZ1] Wang, M., and Ziller, W., *On normal homogeneous Einstein manifolds,* Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4), **18** (1985), 563–633.

[WZ2] ———, *On isotroy irreducible Riemannian manifolds,* Acta Math. **166** (1991), 223–261.

[Wo] Wolf, J., *The geometry and structure of isotropy irreducible homogeneous spaces,* Acta Math. **120** (1968), 59–148; correction, Acta Math. **152** (1984), 141–142.

Facultad de Matemática, Astronomía y Física, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Ciudad Universitaria, 5000 Córdoba, Argentina

E-mail address: `olmos@mate.uncor.edu` `reggiani@mate.uncor.edu`